**Operational Aspects of Collaborative Models**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Articulation**  | **Validated** | **Subject Networks** | **Franchised** | **Outcentre**  | **Joint Programmes**  |
| Agreements  | Programme  | Institutional and Programme  | Institutional, Programme and Network  | Institutional and programme | Programme  | Institutional and Programme  |
| Status of Student within Ulster  | Full, but only when registered on the Ulster provision  | Associate | Associate | Affiliate (UK) orUlster University Overseas Student (sub-category of Affiliate) | Full registered (UK/Ireland) or Ulster University Overseas Student (sub-category of Affiliate) | Full registered (at both/all institutions)  |
| Copyright of course document  | Partner has the copyright for the feeder route; Ulster owns the copyright for the ‘top-up’ course.  | Partner, Ulster or both depending on contribution. This should be identified in the CA2.  | Partners, Ulster or both depending on contribution. This should be identified in the CA2.  | Ulster | Ulster  | Both / All  |
| Cost of (Re-) Approval of Institution  | Paid for by partner or recovered as per the agreed business case.  | Paid for by partner  | Paid for by partner  | Paid for by partner | Not applicable  | Not applicable  |
| Evaluation / Revalidation of course  | In addition to the usual evaluation / revalidation of the Ulster ‘top-up’, the Faculty APL Board considers (and regularly revisits) the mapping of the partner course to the ‘home’ programme.Costs are recovered through student fee / block grant income. Partner responsible for the approval of their feeder programme. | Staff in the partner institution develop the course document in consultation with the University and seek University approval for the course. The level of University input is specified on the CA2. Costs are recovered through charges to partner institution.  | Staff in the partner institutions develop the course document in consultation with the University and seek University approval for the course. The level of University input is specified on the CA2. Costs are recovered through charges to partner institutions.  | The course curriculum has already been developed by a University course team who teach the course at the University. A partner institution may propose to deliver that programme in the college using CA2a). Costs recovered through charges to partner institution. | The course will be validated as for an internal programme but each centre providing local support will be validated separately, concentrating on their ability to provide the local support required. Costs are recovered through student fee / block grant income.  | Staff from both (all) institutions collaborate in the development of the programme and a joint (or separate if this is not feasible) validation event arranged. The costs of the validation should be shared across partners and are recovered as per the agreed business case.  |
| Appointment of External Examiner(s)  | Ulster for ‘top-up’, partner for feeder programme.  | Ulster  | Ulster  | Ulster | Ulster  | Common external appointed by each institution. |
| Payment of External Examiner(s) Fees and Expenses  | Ulster for ‘top-up’ with costs covered through student fee/block grant income.  | Ulster (but included in the calculation of charges to partners)  | Ulster (but included in the calculation of charges to partners)  | Ulster | Ulster with costs covered through student fee / block grant income.  | As agreed with partner(s).  |
| Annual Monitoring  | Programme Management System for ‘top-up’ element which will also be subject to monitoring through CAQE. However, as part of Programme Management System the associated Faculty should consider the performance of students from the feeder programme.  | CAQE (Collaborative)  | CAQE (Collaborative)  | Programme Management System and CAQE | Programme Management System and CAQE | Programme Management System and CAQE  |