###### 

###### 

**RS14b**

**Recommendation of Examiners**

**PhD by Published Works**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| The Examiners are required, where possible, to complete a joint report on this form following the oral examination and to make a recommendation to Senate | | |
| **Student** | | |
| Name: | | School: |
| Campus: | | Faculty: |
| Title of Research Programme: | | |
| **The Examination Board** | | |
| External Examiner (i) |  | |
| External Examiner (ii) |  | |
| Internal Examiner |  | |
| Chairperson of Board |  | |
| **Report of the Examiners on the Thesis** | | |
|  | | |
| **Report of the Examiners on the oral examination** | | |
|  | | |
| **Comments of the Chair on the conduct of the viva** | | |
|  | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **TurnitIn Similarity Report** |
| There is a formal requirement for the Faculty to process each candidate’s submission through TurnitIn software for the purposes of identifying potential plagiarism. The Board of Examiners will discuss the report at the pre-meeting regardless of the similarity results obtained through TurnitIn.  In this section the Chair of the Board of Examiners should report on the discussion held and provide comments on the TurnitIn results.  Similarity results obtained:  Internet Sources:  Publications:  Student Papers:  Board of Examiners Comments:    Recommendation: (note that all instances of plagiarism should be dealt with in accordance with the framework of penalties detailed on <https://www.ulster.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0019/152218/Plagiarism-Policy.pdf>)    If there is established evidence of plagiarised material in the thesis please discuss this with the student at the end of the examination and provide a summary of the discussion below: |

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommendations** |
| The Examiners are requested to check the recommendation which applies:  *NB – See Section 5 (Examiners Reports) of the Handbook for Examiners for further guidance*   * 1. that the candidate should be awarded the degree of PhD by Published Works   2. that the degree should be awarded subject to minor corrections to the thesis being   made, or to clarifications and/or enhancements being completed, to the satisfaction  of the internal examiner within three months of the oral examination.  A typed list of these amendments should be attached to this form.   * 1. that the degree should be awarded subject to corrections to the thesis being   made to the satisfaction of the internal examiner within six months of the oral  examination. A typed list of these amendments should be attached to this form.   * 1. that the candidate should be re-examined within twelve months, which may include   the submission of a revised thesis and published work and an oral examination.   * 1. that the candidate should be permitted to re-apply as a candidate for the degree after   a period of not less than five years   * 1. that the candidate should not be awarded the degree and no resubmission permitted   for the following reasons: |
| Signed:  …………………………………………………………………. (External Examiner (i))       (date)  …………………………………………………………………. (External Examiner (ii))       (date)  …………………………………………………………………. (Internal Examiner)       (date)  …………………………………………………………………. (Chairperson)       (date) |
| **Recommendation by Board of the Faculty** |
| Signed:  ……………………………………………………… (Associate Dean, R&I)       (date) |

(This (This form should be returned to the Doctoral College, Jordanstown, for research students on the Jordanstown and Belfast campuses; or to the Doctoral College, Coleraine for research students on the Coleraine and Magee campuses.)