

# National Student Survey: Procedures for investigating allegations of inappropriate influence on survey results

Publication date: 18 December 2018



Cyngor Cyllido Addysg  
Uwch Cymru  
Higher Education Funding  
Council for Wales



Scottish Funding Council  
Promoting further and higher education



Department for the  
**Economy**  
[www.economy-ni.gov.uk](http://www.economy-ni.gov.uk)

# **National Student Survey: Procedures for investigating allegations of inappropriate influence on survey results**

## **Introduction and scope**

1. This document outlines our procedures for the reporting, handling and resolution of allegations of inappropriate influence in the promotion and running of the National Student Survey (NSS).
2. The procedures apply to all universities, colleges and other higher education providers that participate in the NSS and all students, student organisations and other parties involved in the survey.
3. The Office for Students (OfS) is responsible for managing this process on behalf of all the UK higher education funding bodies. Where relevant, the OfS will work with the appropriate UK higher education funding bodies to resolve concerns raised under these procedures and will share relevant information as appropriate.
4. Until 1 August 2019, where concerns relate to alternative providers in England, OfS will work with the Department for Education to resolve matters raised under these procedures and will share relevant information with the Department of Education as appropriate.
5. Procedures set out in this document relate solely to matters relating to perceived inappropriate influence. Other concerns about NSS data integrity may be raised and resolved through other routes depending on the nature of the issue. These are presented below, but may be subject to change.

## NSS data integrity: Routes for raising concerns

Use and publication of NSS results	Personal interest disclosures	Institutional data amendments or errors
<p>Concerns about the use of data by providers should be directed to the Advertising Standards Authority or the Competition and Markets Authority.</p> <p>For more information, see <a href="http://www.asa.org.uk/">www.asa.org.uk/</a></p> <p><a href="http://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/competition-and-markets-authority">www.gov.uk/government/organisations/competition-and-markets-authority</a></p>	<p>Concerns about financial irregularity and impropriety, waste and fraud at funded providers should be routed to the appropriate funder/regulator – in the case of the OfS, concerns should be routed through the OfS’s complaints and notifications process.</p> <p>For more information, see <a href="http://www.officeforstudents.org.uk/contact/complaints-and-notifications/">www.officeforstudents.org.uk/contact/complaints-and-notifications/</a></p>	<p>The OfS uses a number of different data sources and sometimes providers request that this data be amended. The OfS’s data amendments panel assesses all proposed amendments and judges whether the identified errors are widespread and significant enough to require action.</p> <p>For NSS, the OfS assesses these amendments on behalf of the UK funding bodies.</p> <p>For more information, see <a href="http://www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/amendments-to-data/data-amendments-process/">www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/amendments-to-data/data-amendments-process/</a></p>

6. These procedures are subject to periodic review, which will take place at least once per year before the start of NSS fieldwork.

### Background

7. The NSS is a UK-wide survey which collects information about students’ academic experiences. In doing so, it supports public accountability, helps inform the choices of prospective students and provides data that assists providers in enhancing the student experience. The survey is managed by the OfS on behalf of the other UK higher education funding bodies, namely Higher Education Funding Council for Wales (HEFCW), Scottish Funding Council (SFC), Department for the Economy Northern Ireland (DfENI) and Health Education England (HEE).

8. Results of the survey are published by the OfS and made available to higher education providers and student unions via a dedicated portal. NSS results are also made available to prospective students via the Unistats website<sup>1</sup>.

9. The results of the NSS provide an important dataset for a range of users, including:

- higher education providers and student organisations to identify good practice and areas for enhancement
- prospective students to inform decisions as to where to study
- funding bodies and Government to inform policy and, additionally, in England, for regulatory purposes
- third parties that provide public information, such as league table compilers and student information websites.

## **Why is data integrity important and what is inappropriate influence?**

10. In view of its uses, the UK funding bodies need to ensure the integrity and robustness of the NSS data so that all its users can place their trust in the results and be reassured that students who complete the survey have not been influenced by their institution, other students, student organisations or other parties, to respond in a manner that does not wholly reflect their true opinion.

### **Definition of inappropriate influence**

11. The UK funding bodies define inappropriate influence as any activity or behaviour that may encourage students to reflect anything other than their true opinion of their experiences during their course in their NSS responses.

12. Inappropriate influence is determined by how the influence is perceived by students, not how it was intended to be understood.

13. Conversations between peers discussing their views about the courses do not constitute inappropriate influence. However, deliberately seeking to encourage others to respond to the survey questions in a particular way that does not wholly reflect their own opinion is deemed to be inappropriate influence.

14. In communications, it is important that students are reminded that the survey is independent of the institution, and that they should provide their true opinion of the course.

15. The OfS and the other funders, working in collaboration with the survey supplier, Ipsos MORI, have published a good practice guide to managing and promoting the NSS, which includes guidance on avoiding inappropriate influence –

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://unistats.ac.uk/>

the relevant parts of this document are available to download from the OfS website<sup>2</sup> and should be read in conjunction with this procedure.

16. Alongside this guidance, providers should take account of the Code of Practice for higher education data collections published by the Higher Education Statistics Agency and the funding bodies, which sets out the standards and principles providers are expected to meet<sup>3</sup>.

17. The NSS is an Official Statistic and the OfS is committed to following the Code of Practice for the statistics it produces. Details can be found on the website of the UK Statistics Authority<sup>4</sup>.

18. Examples of inappropriate influence which could impact on NSS data integrity include, but are not limited to:

- a. Explicit or implicit instruction on the type of responses students should make, including the provision of standard or example responses.
- b. How the survey is presented to students by providers, other students and student organisations or other parties, including drawing attention, explicitly or implicitly, to the consequences of negative responses, for example, with regard to:
  - i. conflation with other surveys undertaken by providers whether internal or external
  - ii. league tables, the Teaching Excellence and Student Outcomes Framework (TEF) or Quality Assessment processes
  - iii. employers' perceptions of positive and negative outcomes.
- c. Instruction on how the response scale is understood by third parties.
- d. Encouraging students to avoid a particular point on the response scale.
- e. Failure to ensure that methods to encourage participation in the survey, such as campaigns, the use of promotional materials (either explicitly or implicitly) or incentivisation methods (such as prize draws), do not bias responses. Providers are advised to reference the Ipsos MORI guidance for information on managing the successful promotion of the survey.
- f. Holding compulsory sessions at which the NSS must be completed.

19. Note that providing factual information about the uses to which the NSS is put is not deemed to be inappropriate influence, but consideration should be given to how this will be received, and students should be reminded of the importance of giving their true opinion in their responses.

---

<sup>2</sup> [www.officeforstudents.org.uk/advice-and-guidance/student-information-and-data/national-student-survey-nss/inappropriate-influence/](http://www.officeforstudents.org.uk/advice-and-guidance/student-information-and-data/national-student-survey-nss/inappropriate-influence/)

<sup>3</sup> [www.hesa.ac.uk/code-of-practice-for-higher-education-data-collections](http://www.hesa.ac.uk/code-of-practice-for-higher-education-data-collections)

<sup>4</sup> [www.statisticsauthority.gov.uk/code-of-practice/](http://www.statisticsauthority.gov.uk/code-of-practice/)

## **Guiding principles to be used by the OfS and the UK funding bodies**

20. The OfS will determine on a case-by-case basis whether **two** conditions have been met:

- i. whether inappropriate influence has taken place; and
- ii. whether such inappropriate influence could have materially affected the NSS results.

21. Where the OfS determines these two conditions have been met, it will consider what action it should take as a result. Importantly, it may be necessary for the OfS to take different actions where concerns relate to influence by students or student groups (as opposed to concerns relating to influence by providers or their members of staff) as the OfS has a different relationship with students than it does with providers.

22. Our aim is not therefore to define rigidly what is inappropriate. Ultimately it is important for providers to take responsibility for meeting the expectations of the Higher Education Statistics Agency Code of Practice and to adopt good survey management principles.

23. In our management of this process, in relation to all allegations we will be guided by the following principles:

- transparency
- fairness and proportionality
- primary focus on the student and public interest.

## **Procedures**

### **Raising a concern**

24. Concerns about how an institution, a member of staff at an institution, a student, a student organisation or another party may be attempting to influence the way students respond to the NSS (rather than simply encouraging the student to participate in the NSS), should be raised by email to the OfS. You will receive a response acknowledging receipt of your email on the day of receipt [see Annex A, Box 1].

25. Concerns should be submitted to the OfS's dedicated NSS email inbox (nssallegations@officeforstudents.org.uk), or to the survey supplier's dedicated NSS email inbox (nss@ipsos.com).

26. The OfS will communicate with persons, organisations and providers via email; if an alternative means of communication is preferred, the OfS should be notified of this at the earliest opportunity.

27. Should concerns be raised directly with other UK funding bodies, these will be routed to the OfS who will coordinate the process on their behalf to ensure a fair and consistent approach across the UK.
28. A process map is provided at **Annex A** which sets out how the OfS will acknowledge, manage and investigate concerns. The stages of the process set out below correspond to box numbers on the process map.
29. All concerns should include sufficient detail about the matter to be addressed, to include where possible:
- a. the full name of the person raising the concern and where relevant their institution or organisation, their contact email address or postal address and, where possible, contact telephone number
  - b. the name of the institution to which the NSS data results relate
  - c. the nature of the concern, the date and, if relevant, the time of the interaction which caused the concern, including any supporting evidence or information
  - d. where relevant, whether (and if so, when) a concern has been submitted to an institution or student organisation and, if so, why they were dissatisfied with the institution's or organisation's handling of the concern. However, we do not require persons or organisations to have raised a concern with an institution or student organisation prior to raising a concern with the OfS.
30. The inclusion of as many of these details as possible will help the OfS investigate the concern. Failure to provide these details may mean that the OfS is unable to investigate the concern.
31. The OfS is unable to investigate concerns raised anonymously. In handling cases the OfS will at all times comply with its obligations under the Data Protection Act and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). In some cases, this may mean that a concern cannot be further investigated. In such circumstances the OfS will notify the persons, organisations or providers raising the concern to explain why.

### **Time period for raising concerns**

32. Concerns may be raised at any time during the year; however, it is helpful if they are brought to the OfS's attention as early as possible so that timely action can be taken.

### **Process for managing concerns**

33. The OfS will aim to provide an initial response to the concern within five working days of receipt. The OfS will liaise with the funder in the institution's country, if appropriate, in providing this response. Where concerns are routed via the survey supplier, the response may take longer [see Annex A, Box 2].
34. In its initial response to the concern, the OfS will:

- i. set out the next steps in the process for handling of the concern; and
- ii. confirm that sufficient information has been received to progress the concern, or request the person, organisation or institution who raised the concern to provide any information set out in paragraph 29 above that was not provided when they raised the concern. Those raising concerns will be asked to provide any requested information to the OfS within 10 working days [Annex A, Box 2].

35. Following receipt of any additional requested information, the OfS will consider whether it has sufficient information to proceed to the next stage of investigation [Annex A, Box 3]. This assessment will be undertaken by a senior member of the NSS team in conjunction with a member of an NSS allegations panel (see below), seeking legal or relevant UK funding body advice where necessary.

### **Investigating concerns**

36. Concerns will be investigated by the NSS allegations panel, which will make recommendations in an advisory capacity to the OfS director with responsibility for the NSS. The role of the allegations panel is to make recommendations to the OfS director on:

- i. whether any action should be taken in response to a concern; and
- ii. what form that action should take.

37. The allegations panel will be chaired by a senior manager and comprises officers with expertise in relation to running the NSS, data integrity, governance and legal matters.

38. Concerns relating to providers outside England will be routed to the OfS by the other funding bodies. Where allegations relate to providers in Wales, Scotland or Northern Ireland, the OfS will work with the appropriate funding body to determine the approach. Where appropriate a representative from other UK funding bodies will join the OfS allegations panel as a member for the course of the investigation.

39. Where the OfS considers that there is sufficient evidence for further investigation, it will normally contact the provider to which the NSS data relates promptly and request it to undertake an internal investigation and report its findings in writing to the allegations panel within 20 working days of receiving the request for the investigation. As part of this, the allegations panel may advise providers to seek input from their own internal auditors and may set conditions on the investigation to ensure it is transparent and robust [Annex A, Box 6].

40. Where an allegation relates to a student or student organisation linked to an institution, the OfS will contact the institution to ask it to undertake the investigation.

41. The OfS may commission an independent investigation on its own behalf at the discretion of the director, for example if the allegations panel considers that there is a conflict of interest, or there is no appropriate organisation to take forward an



investigation. Any such investigation will be requested to report its findings to the allegations panel within 20 working days of receiving the request for the investigation [Annex A, Box 6].

42. For an investigation, the organisation or provider against whom the allegation has been raised may, if appropriate, be provided with a copy of the report and be given an opportunity to respond within 10 working days [Annex A, Box 7].

43. The allegations panel will consider the report of the investigation's findings [Annex A, Box 8].

The allegations panel will initially consider three questions:

- a. Was the process of investigation undertaken by the institution carried out appropriately, taking independent advice where appropriate?
- b. What are the findings of the investigation?
- c. Was the OfS's/funding bodies' and survey supplier's guidance breached?

44. The allegations panel will consider each case on its own merits. In its work it will refer to previous cases and outcomes to ensure a consistent approach.

45. The allegations panel will recommend that action should be taken if it considers, on the balance of probabilities, that:

- i. inappropriate influence has occurred; and
- ii. such inappropriate influence could have materially affected the NSS survey results.

46. In recommending whether action should be taken, the allegation panel's consideration will include the following factors:

- a. The specific nature of the concern
- b. The robustness of the evidence
- c. The extent to which the integrity of the relevant NSS data is challenged by the case in question
- d. The investigation carried out by the institution, including whether the latter has been conducted in an appropriate manner, with independent advice where relevant and any response received in respect of such investigation
- e. Where the OfS has commissioned its own investigation, the findings of such investigation and any response from the person, organisation or institution against whom the concern was raised
- f. How the OfS has dealt with other cases to promote consistency of approach
- g. OfS and survey supplier guidance on inappropriate influence

h. The actions taken to resolve any breach.

47. The director may seek further advice to assist their decision, which could include advice from those within the OfS responsible for risk and data management and from other UK funding bodies where relevant.

### **Outcome of review of evidence**

48. Where the director decides that inappropriate influence has occurred and such inappropriate influence could have materially affected the NSS results, the OfS may take the following action (separately or in conjunction) [Annex A, Box 9]:

- a. Request an action plan from the institution to address the problems identified
- b. Request or commission an independent investigation (and potentially recover any costs associated with this)
- c. Temporarily or permanently suppress the institution's NSS data, in whole or in part, to protect the student interest or to ensure data integrity. The OfS will not automatically suppress data upon receipt of an allegation.
- d. Publish a previous year's data in place of the data concerned
- e. Where appropriate, re-survey a proportion of the students originally surveyed at the institution and recover the costs of this
- f. Implement an audit of the institution's processes in the following survey year, which may include requiring all the institution's own survey material to be approved by the OfS.

49. We aim to notify the parties involved of the decision of the director, including any actions to be taken by the institution, within 15 working days of the allegations panel's receipt of the response to the investigation report [Annex A, Boxes 9 and 10].

### **Time limits**

50. Our expectation is that persons, organisations or providers raising a concern will keep to the time limits specified in these procedures. However, we may consider it appropriate (in the interests of fairness or to enable a full investigation to be undertaken) to amend or adapt the time limits set out in these procedures in a particular case. Any party seeking an extension of time should explain in writing to the OfS why they believe it is appropriate.

### **Requesting a review of the outcome of an investigation**

51. Any party to a concern may ask for a review of an investigation. The review will be considered by a review team with no prior involvement in the initial investigation and will be chaired by a director from one of the funding bodies also with no prior involvement in the initial investigation or decision. It is the final element of challenge available to providers under these procedures [Annex A, Box 11].

## **How can a review be requested?**

52. A party may request a review of outcome of an investigation on the grounds of [Annex B, Box 13]:

- significant new evidence
- a significant procedural irregularity.

53. A party must request a review in writing to the OfS chief executive within 10 working days of the date of the OfS's decision. The OfS will acknowledge receipt of the request and inform the other parties to the investigation, where relevant, that a request has been lodged, within five working days of receipt [Annex B, Boxes 13 and 14].

54. A party submitting a request for review must provide in writing to the OfS any evidence to support its request within 10 working days of submission. If no such evidence is received within the period allowed, the OfS will be entitled to treat the request as having been abandoned [Annex B, Box 15].

## **What is the review process?**

55. The request for review, together with supporting documents, will be checked by a review team comprising up to three members, including a director unconnected to the original investigation and decision (who will chair the proceedings). The review team may involve a representative from another UK funding body [Annex A, Box 16].

56. The review team may seek advice as necessary from those with knowledge of the case. If these case advisers may have been involved in the original investigation, they will offer technical or process information only.


57. The review team will make a recommendation to the OfS chief executive, who will make the final decision on the review, in consultation with any other relevant UK funding body's chief executive.

## **What will happen with the results of the review?**

58. The OfS aims to notify the parties of the review results within 10 working days of receipt of the review documents. There will be no further routes of challenge to the OfS chief executive's decision. However, this decision and the decision of the OfS director may be challenged by applying to the High Court for permission to ask for a judicial review. Any such application must be made promptly and, in any event, within three months of notification of the outcome of the appeal [Annex B, Box 17].

## Annex A

### Procedure for reporting and investigating concerns that an institution has attempted to influence National Student Survey data inappropriately



## Annex B

### Procedure for requesting a review of the outcome of an investigation into concerns that an institution has attempted to influence National Student Survey data inappropriately

